SAF-RC-008 **ERDF Groundwater Well Samples** FINAL VALIDATION PACKAGE

COMPLETE COPY OF VALIDATION PACKAGE TO:

Kathy Wendt H4-21

COMMENTS:

SDG K3986 SAF-RC-008

ERDF GROUNDWATER WELL SAMPLES – Sept. 2012

Date:

21 November 2012

To:

Washington Closure Hanford (technical representative)

From:

ELR Consulting

Project: ERDF Groundwater Well Samples - September 2012

Subject: Wet Chemistry - Data Package No. K3986-LLI

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Summary Data Package No. K3986 prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of the samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Sample Date	Media	Validation	Analysis
B2LX96	9/10/12	Water	С	See note 1
B2LX97	9/10/12	Water	С	See note 1

^{1 -} Total dissolved solids - 160.1, nitrate/nitrite by 353.2, alkalinity by 310.1, total organic halides (TOX) by 9020B & IC anions - 300.0.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the WCH validation statement of work and WCH-198, Rev. 0, "Groundwater Protection Plan for the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility". Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification

Appendix 3. Annotated Laboratory Reports

Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Holding Times & Sample Preparation

Analytical holding times are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements have been met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: 28 days for TOX, chloride, fluoride, bromide, sulfate and nitrate/nitrite; 14 days for alkalinity; 7 days for TDS; and 2 days for nitrate, nitrite and phosphate.

If holding times are exceeded, but not by greater than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for nondetects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two times the limit, all associated detectable sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

Due to the samples not being properly preserved (headspace), all alkalinity results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Due to the holding times being exceeded by less than twice the limit, all nitrate, nitrite and orthophosphate results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other holding time and sample preservation parameters were met.

Method Blanks

Method blank analyses are performed to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation and analysis. At least one acceptable method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples. No contaminants should be present in the method blank. All blank results must fall below the contract required detection limit (CRQL) to be acceptable.

All method blank results were acceptable.

Field Blanks

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

Accuracy

Matrix Spike Analysis & Blank Spike Analysis

Matrix spike analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data and the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Matrix spike recoveries must fall within the range of 75% to 125%. Samples with a spike recovery of less than 30% and a sample value below the instrument detection limit (IDL) are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a spike recovery of 30% to 74% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a spike recovery of greater than 125% or less than 75% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified "J". Finally, for samples with a spike recovery greater than 125% and a sample result less than the IDL, no qualification is required.

Due to the lack of a matrix spike analysis, all alkalinity and total dissolved solids results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other accuracy recovery results were acceptable.

Precision

Laboratory Duplicate Samples

Laboratory duplicate sample analyses are used to measure laboratory precision and sample homogeneity. Results must be within relative percent difference (RPD) limits of plus or minus 20% for water samples. If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is greater than five times the project quantitation limit (MDL) or

CRQL, all associated sample results are qualified as estimated and flagged "J". If RPD values are plus or minus two times the MDL/CRQL and the sample concentration is less than five times the MDL/CRQL, all associated sample results are qualified as estimated and flagged "J/UJ". The performance criteria for aqueous laboratory duplicates are an RPD less than 20% for positive sample results greater than five times the MDL/CRQL or plus or minus the MDL/CRQL for positive sample results less than five times the MDL/CRQL. Sample results outside the criteria are qualified as estimates and flagged "J/UJ".

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate Samples

No field duplicate samples were submitted for analysis.

Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the minimum detection limits (MDLs) to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All results met the MDLs.

Completeness

Data package No. K3986 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

The following minor deficiencies were noted:

- Due to the samples not being properly preserved (headspace), all alkalinity results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".
- Due to the holding times being exceeded by less than twice the limit, all nitrate, nitrite and orthophosphate results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".
- Due to the lack of a matrix spike analysis, all alkalinity and total dissolved solids results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the WCH statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

REFERENCES

Washington Closure Hanford Contract #S00W307A00 (March 2008), *Data Validation Services*.

WCH-198, Rev 0, Groundwater Protection Plan for the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility, February 2008.

Appendix 1

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with WCH validation SOW are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. The
 associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decisionmaking purposes.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified QC deficiency.
- NJ Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decisionmaking purposes).
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

WET CHEMISTRY DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

SDG: K3986	REVIEWER: ELR	Project: ERDF	PAGE_1_OF_1
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Alkalinity	J	All	Sample preservation (headspace)
Alkalinity TDS	J	All	No MS analysis
Nitrate Nitrite Orthophosphate	J	All	Hold time

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification



Lionville Laboratory, PADEP Lab ID# 15-00009 264 Welsh Pool Road

Exton, PA 19341 Phone: 610-280-3000

Fax: 610-280-3041

WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354

Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 10/26/2012 22:48

Wet Chemistry

M 11/21/12 Lionville Laboratory

Analyte	Result and Qualifier	LOD	LOQ	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method
B2LX96 (1209026-02) Water									
Total Alkalinity	129 J	0.2	0.5	mg/L	1	L209097	09/14/2012 11:00	09/14/2012 13:15	SM 2320B
Total Dissolved Solids	295 J	5.0	20.0	mg/L	1	L209077	09/12/2012 15:40	09/12/2012 15:40	SM 2540C
Bromide	0.10 U	0.10	0.50	mg/L	1	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993)
Chloride	13.6 D	0.50	2.50	mg/L	5	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993)
Fluoride	0.35 B	0.10	0.50	mg/L	1	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993)
Nitrate	30.9 D 📉	0.50	2.50	mg/L	5	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993)
Nitrite	0.10 U J	0.10	0.50	mg/L	1	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993)
Orthophosphate	0.20 U 🐧	0.20	1.00	mg/L	1	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993)
Sulfate	27.2 D	0.50	2.50	mg/L	5	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300,0 (1993)
Nitrate/Nitrite as N	6.74 N, D	0.100	0.500	mg/L	10	L209174	09/21/2012 10:00	09/21/2012 11:46	EPA 353.2
Total Organic Halides	20.0 U	20.0	100	ug/L	1	L210065	10/03/2012 06:20	10/03/2012 19:30	902013
B2LX97 (1209026-04) Water									
Total Alkalinity	127 5	0.2	0.5	mg/L	1	L209097	09/14/2012 11:00	09/14/2012 13:15	SM 2320B
Total Dissolved Solids	284 J	5.0	20.0	mg/L	1	L209077	09/12/2012 15:40	09/12/2012 15:40	SM 2540C
Bromide	0.10 U	0.10	0.50	mg/L	1	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993)
Chloride	13.4 D	0.50	2.50	mg/L	5	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993
Fluoride	0.34 B	0.10	0.50	mg/L	1	L,209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993
Nitrate	30.4 D J	0.50	2.50	mg/L	5	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993
Nitrite	0.10 U J	0.10	0.50	mg/L	1	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993
Orthophosphate	0.20 U J	0.20	1.00	mg/L	i	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993
Sulfate	26.9 D	0.50	2.50	mg/L	5	L209104	09/12/2012 09:23	09/12/2012 12:47	EPA 300.0 (1993
Nitrate/Nitrite as N	6.92 D	0.100	0.500	mg/L	10	L209174	09/21/2012 10:00	09/21/2012 11:50	EPA 353.2
Total Organic Halides	20.0 U	20.0	100	ug/L	1	L210065	10/03/2012 06:20	10/03/2012 19:30	9020B

Appendix 3

Annotated Laboratory Reports

Appendix 4

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



264 Welsh Pool Road Exton, Pennsylvania 19341 Phone (610) 280-3000 Fax (610) 280-3041

Case Narrative

Client: WC-HANFORD RC-008 K3986

LVL#: 1209026

Date Received: 09-11-12

INORGANIC NARRATIVE

1. This narrative covers the analyses of 2 water samples.

The samples were prepared and analyzed in accordance with the methods indicated on the data summary report.

Lionville Lab (LvL) is NELAP accredited by the State of Pennsylvania. For a complete list of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager. LvL certifies that all test results meet the requirements of NELAC with any exception noted in the following statements.

- Sample holding times as required by the method and/or contract were met with the exceptions of Nitrate, Nitrite and Orthophosphate.
- The results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvL's sample acceptance
 policy.
- 5. The method blanks were within the method criteria.
- The Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) were within the laboratory control limits.
- 7. The matrix spike recoveries were within the applicable control limits as noted in the Analytical Report with the exception of Nitrate Nitrite that was above the 90-110% control limits at 114%.
- The replicate analyses were within the 20% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) control limit.
- 9. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels ()
Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory

njp\i09-026

10/w/12/ Date

Lionville Laboratory SAMPLE RECEIPT CHECKLIST (SRC)

CLIENT: WC Hanford Project/APSOW/Release #: RC - 008 Q	,	Date: 9/1	
LvL Batch #: 1209 026	Sampl	e Custodian:	Liter Hear work
	TE: EXPLAIN ALL DISC		wer franker of
1. Samples Hand Delivered or Shipped?	Carrier Co	8	Airbill # 798930496459
 Custody Seals on coolers or shipping containers intact, signed & dated? 	DXE	□ No	□ No Seals
3. Outside of coolers or shipping containers are free from damage?	DIE 05)	IZNo	comments: Hole on Bottom leak?
4. All expected paperwork received (coc & other client specific information) sealed in plactic bag and easily accessible?	D yes	□ No	
5. Samples received cooled or ambient?	Temp 2,5°	•c	Cooler# G-W5-054
How was the temperature taken?	ELEK	□ Temp. Blank	☐ Other (Specify):
Is the Temp. Criteria met for these samples? (Hg in soils @ 4°C)	□ Yes	□ No	
Custody seals on sample containers intact, signed and dated?	G Yes	□ № .	□ No Seals
7. COC (Client & LvL) signed & dated?	□ Yes	□ No	
8. Sample containers are intact?	□/1es	□ 1 /0	
 All samples on COC received? All samples received on COC? 	Cl Yes	□ No □ No	
10. All sample label information matches COC?	L'Yes	□ No	
 Samples properly preserved? (If #5 is no, then this is no.) 	D/Yes	□ No	
12. Samples received within hold times? Short holds taken to wet lab?	VI YES	□ No □ No	□ N/A
13. VOA, TOC, TOX free of headspace? Alkaling	1 (cs 05)	ZI No	□ N/A
14. QC stickers placed on bottles designated by client?) over a field	□ No	UNA
15. Shipment meets LvL Sample Acceptance Policy? (Identify all bottles that do not meet the policy, which is on the reverse of this page.)	□ Yes	и ио	
16. Project Manager contacted concerning any discrepancies? Person Contacted Downson	Yes	□ No Date 9/12/12	O N/A

SR-002-B.doc

CH2MHill Pla	ateau	Rem	ediation		CHA	AIN OF CUST	ODY/SAMPLE ANAL	YSIS REQUEST	c.o.c.# RC-008Q-014			
Company									Page 1 of 1			
Collector CHPRC					Conta	act/Requester WA	TERS-HUSTED, K	Telephone No. 376-46	hone No. 376-4650			
SAF No.		008Q			Sampl	ing Origin Hani	ford Site	Purchase Order/Charge Code 302326ES20				
Project Title		`	ptember 20)12	Logb	ook No. HNF-N-50	06 36112	Ice Chest No. N/A	est No. N/A 6W5-054			
Shipped To (Lab)		 _		Incorporated] Meth	od of Shipment GO	VERNMENT VEHICLE	Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.	N/A 7989-3049-645			
Protocol	GPI				Prior	ity: 45 Days		Offsite Property No. N/A 4017				
POSSIBLE SAMP **Contains Radiosctiv Dangerous Goods Rep	re Materia	al at conc	entrations that	may or may not be	regulated for transports	ation per 49 CFR/IATA	**Submit invoices and deliverables to JH Ke **FAX copies of Eberline/Lionville log-in to **Sample Management will send all results to	essner, BHI JH Kessner (1-425-969-4823) and Scot Fi	Activity Exemption: Yes 🗹 No 🔲			
Sample No.	Filter	•	Date	Time	No/Type Contain	ner	Sample Analysis	Holding Time	Preservative			
B2LX94 .	Y	wc	EP 1 0 20	12115	1x500-mL G/	P 6010_METALS_IC	CP_TR: List-3 (8)	6 Months	HNO3 to pH <2			
B2LX96 •	N	w	1	1	1x1-L aGs*	TOX - 9020		28 Days	H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool-4C			
B2LX96 .	N	w			1x500-mL G/	P TDS - 160.1		7 Days	Cool~4C			
B2LX96 •	N	w			1x20-mL P	Activity Scan		6 Months	None			
B2LX96 ,	N	w			1x250-mL G/	P 2320_ALKALINIT	Y: Alkalinity (1)	14 Days	Cool-4C			
B2LX96	N	w			1x500-mL G/	P NO2/NO3 - 353.2		28 Days	H2SO4 to pH <2/Cooi~4C			
R21 X96	- N	w			1x500-ml P	IC Anions - 300.0		48 Hours	Cool~4C			

B2LX96

B2LX96

W

Relinquished By MA White	Print Sign	Date/Time	Received By	Print S	Sign	Date/Time	1	Mati	ix *	
CHPRC 70	allti SEP	1.0 2012 1250	CFUltur	入さら	9-10-12	1920	s	- Soil		um Solids
Relinquished By	$\Delta \cap$	Date/Time	Received By			Date/Time	SE	SedimentSolid	DL = Di T = Ti	um Liquids
CEV How	9-10-12	1400:	FFDEX	7/			SL	- Shidge	WI - W	ipe
Relinquished By		Date/Time	Received By	The state of the s		Date/Time] w	= Water = Oil	L - Li	quid getation
FedEs	9-11-12	1439 1439	VIJOR HERN	under	9-1F-R	1439	A	- Air	X = 01	
Relinquished By		Date/Time	Received By			Date/Time				
FINAL SAMPLE	Disposal Method (e.g., Return to customer, per lab p	rocedure, used in proce	:55)	Dispose	i By			Date	/Time	
DISPOSITION										

6010_METALS_ICP_TR: List-3 (8)

VOA - 8260A (TCL)

1x500-mL G/P

3x40-mL aGs*

HNO3 to pH <2

HCl or H2SO4 to pH <2/Cocl-4C

6 Months

14 Days

CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST								C.O.C.# RC-008Q-015 Page 1 of 1				
Collector CHPRO	ite							Contact/I	Requester WA	TERS-HUSTED, K	Telephone No. 376-4650	
SAF No.	RC-	-008	0				Sampling Origin Hanford Site Purchase Order/Charge Code					302326ES20
Project Title				nber 20	012			Logbook No. HNF-N-506 34 /12 Ice Chest No. N/A				ws-054
Shipped To (Lab)	Lion	nvill	e Labo	oratory	Inco	rporated		Method o	Shipment GO	VERNMENT VEHICLE	Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. N	1A 7999-3049-6480
Protocol	GPI	 P						Priority:	45 Days		Offsite Property No.	1A 4017
POSSIBLE SAMPI **Contains Radioactive Dangerous Goods Regu	Materia	al at co	ncentrat	ions that	may or :	may not be Order 458.1	regulated for .**	transportation	per 49 CFR/IATA	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS Ho **Submit invoices and deliverables to JH Kes **FAX copies of Eberline/Lionville log-in to J **Sample Management will send all results to	ner, BHI H Kessner (1-425-969-4823) and Scot Fitzgers	y Exemption: Yes 🗹 No 🗍
Sample No.	Filter	+	D	atc		Time	No/Type	Container		Sample Analysis	Holding Time	Preservative
B2LX95 .	Υ	w	SEP 1	0 20	2	15	1x500	-mL G/P	6010_METALS_IC	CP_TR: List-3 (8)	6 Months	HNO3 to pH <2
B2LX97 .	N	w	<u> 1-1</u>	, 0 =0	للت	1	1x1-	L aGs*	TOX - 9020		28 Days	H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool-4C
B2LX97 •	N	w					1x500	-mL G/P	TDS - 160.1		7 Days	Cool~4C
B2LX97 .	N	W			 		1x2	0-mL P	Activity Scan		6 Months	None
B2LX97 -	N	w		 		 	1x250	-mL G/P	2320_ALKALINIT	Y: Alkalinity (1)	14 Days	Cool~4C
B2LX97 ,	N	w		 			1x500)-mL G/P	NO2/NO3 - 353.2		28 Days	H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool-4C
B2LX97 1	N	W		 			1x50	0-mL P	IC Anions - 300.0		48 Hours	Cool~4C
B2LX97	N	w		†	 		1x500	-mL G/P	6010_METALS_K	CP_TR: List-3 (8)	6 Months	HNO3 to pH <2
B2LX97	N	W		1	١.,	/ /	3x40-	mL aGs*	VOA - 8260A (TC	L)	14 Days HC	or H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool-4C

Relinquished By	Print	Sign	Date/Time	Received By	Print	Sign		Date/Time		Mat	rix *	
MA White	11/25	Ş	SEP 1 0 2012 1250	Fifter	(Chief)	9-10	-12	350	s	- Soil		= Drum Solids
CHPRC Relinquished By	(maye)		Date/Time	Received By	1			Date/Time	SE	SedimentSolid		Drum LiquidsTissue
CF. Hon C	\simeq	9-10-12	1400	FEDEL	0				SI.	= Słudge	WI	- Wipe
Relinquished By			Date/Time	Received By	•		•	Date/Time	T w	= Water = Oil		LiquidVegetation
Ted E		9-11-12	1439	VIJOR HER	MANDEZ	9-11-12	1439		<u> </u>	≠ Air	x	- Other
Relinquished By			Date/Time	Received By				Date/Time				
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method (e.g.,	Return to customer, p	er lab procedure, used in pro-	cess)	I	Disposed By				Da	e/Time	
PRINTED ON 8/2/2012										A-6004-8	42 (RE)	/ 2)

Appendix 5 Data Validation Supporting Documentation

LEVEL:	A	В	$\frac{1}{c}$, D	E
PROJECT:	PROK		DATA PACKAC	ie: K3980	4
VALIDATOR:	FLR	LAB: LL	工	DATE: ((/	20/2
			SDG: K	3986	
		ANALYSE	S PERFORMED		
Anions/IC	TOC	TOX	TPH-418.1	Oil and Grease	Alkalinity
Ammonia	BOD/COD	Chloride	Chromium-VI	pН	NO ₃ /NO ₂
Sulfate (TDS	TKN	Phosphate		
SAMPLES/MAT	RIX	I			
BZLX		26497			
N				•	
					1
				<u>u</u>	veter
Technical verifica		present?) CASE NARRATI	VE	
Technical verifica Comments:	tion documentation	present?		VE 	
Technical verifica Comments: 2. INSTRU	tion documentation	present?	ALIBRATIONS (Le	VE evels D and E)	Yes No N/
Technical verifica Comments: 2. INSTRU Initial calibrations	tion documentation WMENT PERFORM 5 performed on all in	MANCE AND Construments?	ALIBRATIONS (Le	VE evels D and E)	Yes No N/
Technical verifica Comments: 2. INSTRU Initial calibrations	UMENT PERFORM S performed on all in	MANCE AND Castruments?	ALIBRATIONS (Le	VE evels D and E)	Yes No N/
Technical verifica Comments: 2. INSTRU Initial calibrations Initial calibrations ICV and CCV che	UMENT PERFORM S performed on all in acceptable?	MANCE AND Construments?	ALIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No N/Yes No N/Yes No N/Yes No N/
Technical verifica Comments: 2. INSTRU Initial calibrations ICV and CCV che ICV and CCV che	JMENT PERFORM s performed on all in s acceptable?	MANCE AND Construments?	ALIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No N/Yes No N/Yes No N/Yes No N/Yes No N/
2. INSTRU Initial calibrations ICV and CCV che ICV and CCV che Standards traceab	JMENT PERFORM s performed on all in acceptable?	MANCE AND Construments?	ALIBRATIONS (Le	evels D and E)	Yes No N/
2. INSTRU Initial calibrations ICV and CCV che ICV and CCV che Standards traceab Standards expired	JMENT PERFORM s performed on all in acceptable? ecks performed on a ecks acceptable?	MANCE AND Construments?	ALIBRATIONS (Le	evels D and E)	Yes No N/ Yes No N/

BLANKS (Levels B, C			
	d for all applicable analyses? (Levels D, E)		
CB and CCB results acceptable	e? (Levels D, E)		
Laboratory blanks analyzed?			Yes No N/A
Laboratory blank results accepta	able?		Ye No N/A
Field blanks analyzed? (Levels	C, D, E)		Yes No NA
Field blank results acceptable? ((Levels C, D, E)		Yes N N
Transcription/calculation errors	? (Levels D, E)		Yes No N/
Comments:		V °	₹B
A COUDACY (Level	C D and E)		
4. ACCURACY (Levels			Yes No N/A
• *			X ->
	(Levels D, E)		
			~~
	els D, E)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	, E)		<i>II</i> – \
Standards expired? (Levels D,	E)		Yes No NA
Transcription/calculation errors	s? (Levels D, E)		Yes No (N/A)
Performance audit sample(s) ar	nalyzed?		Ye No N/A
Performance audit sample resu	lts acceptable?		Yes No N/A
Comments: a Kalini	- TDS - NOMS -	Tall	
	·	Ve	· PH

5.	PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)		
Dupli	cate RPD values acceptable?		
Dupli	cate results acceptable?		Yes No N/A
MS/N	ISD standards NIST traceable? (Levels l	D, E)	Yes No(N/A)
MS/N	ISD standards expired? (Levels D, E)		Yes No N/A
Field	duplicate RPD values acceptable?		Ye No N/A
	split RPD values acceptable?		
Trans	cription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Com	ments:		
			
6.	HOLDING TIMES (all levels)		
	oles properly preserved?		Yes No N/A
-	ole holding times acceptable?		\sim
Samp	one holding times acceptable?	alsmore - Tull	
Com	ments: a kalinity - he nitrate, nitrite +	2016 - 126 - J	all
		0	

7. RESULT QUANTITATION AND DETECTION LIMITS (all levels)	
Results reported for all requested analyses?	(Yes)No N/A
Results supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (NA)
Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E)	Yes No NA
Detection limits meet RDL?	YES NO N/A
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Comments:	

Appendix 6 Additional Documentation Requested by Client



Lionville Laboratory, PADEP Lab ID# 15-00009

264 Welsh Pool Road Exton, PA 19341 Phone: 610-280-3000 Fax: 610-280-3041

WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354

Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported:

10/26/2012 22:48

Wet Chemistry - Quality Control Lionville Laboratory

Analyte	Result and Qu	ıalificrs	LOD 1	LOQ	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Batch L209077 - Default Prep G	enChem										
Blank (L209077-BLK1)					Prepared &	Analyze	1: 09/12/2	012 15:40)		
Total Dissolved Solids	5.0	U	5.0	20.0	mg/L						
LCS (L209077-BS1)					Prepared &	Analyze	d: 09/12/2	012 15:40)		
Total Dissolved Solids	101	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	5.0	20.0	mg/L	100.00		101	80-120		
					Prepared &	Analyze	d: 09/12/2	2012 15:40)		
LCS (L209077-BS2) Total Dissolved Solids	103		5.0	20.0	mg/L	100.00		103	80-120		
8		_			n	. A - aluma	4. 00/12/	2012 15:4	n		
Duplicate (L209077-DUP1)		Source:	1209026-02		Prepared &	Analyze	29:			1.37	20
Total Dissolved Solids	291		5.0	20.0	mg/L		43.	_		1.0	
Batch L209097 - Default Prep C	GenChem										
Blank (L209097-BLK1)					Prepared: (9/14/201	2 11:00 A	nalyzed:	09/14/2012	13:15	
Total Alkalinity	0.2	U	0.2	0.5	mg/L						
LCS (L209097-BS1)					Prenared: (9/14/201	2 11:00 A	alyzed:	09/14/2012	13:15	
Total Alkalinity	103		0.2	0.5	mg/L	100.00		103	90-110		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
					D	00/14/201	2.11.00	naluzad:	09/14/2012	13-15	
LCS (L209097-BS2)	00.1				mg/L	100.00		98.1	90-110	13.13	
Total Alkalinity	98.1		0.2	0.5							
Duplicate (L209097-DUP1)		Source	1209026-02	2	Prepared: (9/14/201			09/14/2012		
Total Alkalinity	127		0.2	0.5	mg/L		12	9		1.74	20
Batch L209104 - Default Prep (GenChem										
	·				Prepared: (19/12/201	2 09:23	Analyzed:	09/12/2012	10:08	
Blank (L209104-BLK1)	0.10	IJ	0.10	0.50	mg/L	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Fluoride	0.10		0.10	0.50	mg/L						
Chloride Nitrite	0.10		0.10	0.50	mg/L						
	0.10		0.10	0.50	mg/L						
			*								
Bromide	0.10	U	0.10	0.50	mg/L						
Nitrate Orthophosphate	0.10 0.20	10.50	0.10 0.20	0.50	mg/L mg/L						



Lionville Laboratory, PADEP Lab ID# 15-00009 264 Welsh Pool Road Exton, PA 19341 Phone: 610-280-3000 Fax: 610-280-3041

WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354

Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 10/26/2012 22:48

Wet Chemistry - Quality Control Lionville Laboratory

Analyte	Result and Qualifiers	LOD LOQ	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Batch L209104 - Default Prep C	GenChem								
LCS (L209104-BS1)		·	repared: 0	9/12/2012	09:23 An	alyzed: (9/12/2012	10:08	
Fluoride	5.16	0.10 0.50	mg/L	5.0000		103	90-110		
Chloride	4.96	0.10 0.50	mg/L.	5,0000		99.2	90-110		
Nitrite	4.95	0.10 0.50	rng/L	5.0000		99.0	90-110		
Bromide	5.09	0.10 0.50	mg/L	5.0000		102	90-110		
Nitrate	5.13	0.10 0.50	mg/L	5.0000		103	90-110		
Orthophosphate	4.92	0.20 1.00	mg/L	5.0000		98.4	90-110		
Sulfate	5.10	0.10 0.50	mg/L	5.0000		102	90-110		
Duplicate (L209104-DUP1)	Source: 1	1209026-02	Prepared: (09/12/2012	09:23 Ar	alyzed:	09/12/2012	12;47	
Fluoride	0.36 B	0.10 0.50	mg/L		0.35			2.82	20
Chloride	13.3 D	0.50 2.50	mg/L		13.6			1.71	20
Nitrite	0.10 U	0.10 0.50	mg/L		- 0.10 €	J			20
Bromide	0.10 U	0.10 0.50	mg/L		0.10 U	J			20
Nitrate	30.8 D	0.50 2.50	mg/L		30.9			0.422	20
Orthophosphate	0.20 U	0.20 1.00	mg/L		0.20 €	J			20
Sulfate	27.2 D	0.50 2.50	mg/L		27.2			0.220	20
Matrix Spike (L209104-MS1)	Source: 1	1209026-02	Prepared: (09/12/2012	09:23 Aı	nalyzed:	09/12/2012	12:47	
Orthophosphate	5.50	0.20 1.00	mg/L	5.0000	0.20 T	J 110	80-120		
Matrix Spike (L209104-MS2)	Source: 1	1209026-02	Prepared: (09/12/2012	09:23 Aı	nalyzed:	09/12/2012	12:47	
Fluoride	26.1 D	0.50 2.50	mg/L	25.000	0.35	103	80-120		*
Chloride	40.2 D	0.50 2.50	mg/L	25.000	13.6	106	80-120		
Nitrite	25.1 D	0.50 2.50	mg/L	25.000	0.10 t	J 100	80-120		
Bromide	25.0 D	0.50 2.50	mg/L	25.000	0.10 t	J 100	80-120		
Matrix Spike (L209104-MS3)	Source: 1	1209026-02	Prepared: (9/12/2012	. 09:23 Aı	nalyzed:	09/12/2012	12:47	
Nitrate	79.6 D	1,00 5.00	mg/L	50.000		97.4	80-120		
Sulfate	75.2 D	1.00 5.00	mg/L	50.000	27.2	95.8	80-120		



Lionville Laboratory, PADEP Lab ID# 15-00009 264 Welsh Pool Road

Exton, PA 19341 Phone: 610-280-3000 Fax: 610-280-3041

WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354

Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 10/26/2012 22:48

Wet Chemistry - Quality Control Lionville Laboratory

		_			J						
Analyte	Result and Qu	alifiers	LOD L	.0Q	Units	Spike . Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Batch L209174 - Default Prep (GenChem										
Blank (L209174-BLK1)					Prepared:	09/21/2012	10:00 Ar	ialyzed:	09/21/2012	10:38	
Nitrate/Nitrite as N	0.010	U	0.010	0.050	mg/L						
LCS (L209174-BS1)					Prepared:	09/21/2012	10:00 Aı			10:37	
Nitrate/Nitrite as N	0.512		0.010	0.050	mg/L	0.51302		100	90-110		
Duplicate (L209174-DUP1)		Source:	1209026-02		Prepared:	09/21/2012	10:00 A	nalyzed:	09/21/2012	11:48	
Nitrate/Nitrite as N	6.94	D	0.100	0.500	mg/L		6.74			3	20
Matrix Spike (L209174-MS1)		Source:	1209026-02		Prepared:	09/21/2012				11:49	
Nitrate/Nitrite as N	18.1	D	0.200	1.00	mg/L	10.000	6.74	114*	90-110		
Batch L210065 - Default Prep	GenChem										
Blank (L210065-BLK1)		,			Prepared:	10/03/2012	06:20 A	nalyzed:	10/03/2012	19:30	
Total Organic Halides	20.0	U	20.0	100	ug/L						
LCS (L210065-BS1)					Prepared:	10/03/2012	06:20 A	nalyzed:	10/03/2012	19:30	
Total Organic Halides	49.7	В	20.0	100		50.000		99	80-120		
Duplicate (L210065-DUP1)		Source	1209026-02	!	Prepared:	10/03/2012			10/03/2012	19:30	
Total Organic Halides	20.0	U	20.0	100	ug/L		20.0	U			20
Matrix Spike (L210065-MS1)		Source	: 1209026-04	ι	Prepared:	10/03/2012				19:30	
Total Organic Halides	58.2	В	20.0	100	ug/L	50.000	20.0	U 116	75-125		

Date:

21 November 2012

To:

Washington Closure Hanford (technical representative)

From:

ELR Consulting

Project: ERDF Groundwater Well Samples – September 2012

Subject: Volatiles - Data Package No. K3986-LLI

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Summary Data Package No. K3986 prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of the samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Sample Date	Media	Validation	Analysis
B2LX96	9/10/12	Water	С	See note 1
B2LX97	9/10/12	Water	С	See note 1

^{1 -} Volatiles by EPA 8260B.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the WCH validation statement of work and WCH-198, Rev. 0, "Groundwater Protection Plan for the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility". Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification

Appendix 3. Annotated Laboratory Reports

Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Holding Times & Sample Preservation

Analytical holding times are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. Preserved water samples must be analyzed within: 14 days of the date of sample collection for preserved samples and 7 days for unpreserved samples.

If holding times are exceeded, but not by greater than twice the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for nondetects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than twice the limit, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

All holding times were acceptable.

Blanks

Method blank analyses are conducted to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation and analysis. At least one acceptable method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples of a given matrix. No contaminants should be present in the method blank. Analytical results for analytes present in any sample at less than five times the concentration of that analyte found in the associated blank are qualified as non-detects and flagged "U". Common laboratory contaminants present in samples at less than ten times the concentration of that analyte found in the associated blank are qualified as non-detects. If a sample result is less than the project quantitation limit (PQL) and is less than five times (or less than ten times for laboratory contaminants) the highest associated blank result, the sample result value is raised to the PQL, qualified as undetected and flagged "U".

All method blank results were acceptable.

Field Blanks

No equipment blanks were submitted for analysis.

Accuracy

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate & Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data. The matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analyses are performed in duplicate using the target compounds for which percent recoveries must be within established laboratory quality control limits. If spike recoveries are outside control limits, detected sample results less than five times the spike concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Undetected sample results with spike recoveries outside control limits are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Sample results greater than five times the spike concentration require no qualification.

All accuracy results were acceptable.

Surrogate Recovery

The analysis of surrogate compounds provides a measure of system performance for individual samples. Matrix-specific surrogate compound recovery control windows have been established by the laboratory program. When a surrogate compound recovery is out of the control window, all positively identified target compounds associated with the unacceptable surrogate recoveries are qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Undetected compounds with surrogate recoveries less than the lower control limit are qualified as having an estimated detection limit and flagged "UJ". Samples with surrogate recoveries less than ten percent are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects, and rejected and flagged "UR" for nondetects. Undetected compounds with surrogate recoveries greater than the upper control limit require no qualification.

All surrogate recovery results were acceptable.

Precision

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Samples

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate results provide matrix-specific information on the precision of the method for specific target compound classes. Precision is expressed by the relative percent difference (RPD) between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample. For samples analyzed using SW-846 protocol, results must be within RPD limits of +/- 20% for water samples and +/- 35% for solid samples. If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is less than five times the spike concentration, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects. If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is greater than five times the spike concentration, no qualification is required.

All precision results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate Samples

One set of field duplicate samples (B2LX96)/B2LX97 were submitted for analysis. Field duplicates are compared using the same criteria as for laboratory duplicates. All field duplicate results were acceptable.

Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the required detection limits (RDLs) to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All results met the RDL.

Completeness

Data package No. K3986 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

<u>REFERENCES</u>

Washington Closure Hanford Contract #S00W307A00 (March 2008), Data Validation Services.

WCH-198, Rev 0, Groundwater Protection Plan for the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility, February 2008.

Appendix 1 Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validator in compliance with the WCH validation SOW are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The
 data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decisionmaking purposes).
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

VOLATILE ORGANIC DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

SDG: K3986	REVIEWER: ELR	Project: ERDF	PAGE_1_OF_1						
COMMENTS: No qualifiers assigned									

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

Appendix 3

Annotated Laboratory Reports



264 Weish Pool Road Exton, PA 19341 Phone: 610-280-3000 Fax: 610-280-3041

WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354

Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/17/2012 12:57

120

B2LX96	12/12	
209026-02 (Water)	11/24/14	

Analyte	Result and Qualif	ier	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method
		-	Lionville Lab	oratory					
Volatile Organic Compounds	by SW846 8260	В							
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	i	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
1,1-Dichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
1,1-Dichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
1,2-Dichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	i	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
1,2-Dichlorocthene (total)	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
1,2-Dichloropropane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
2-Butanone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
2-Hexanone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Acetone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Benzene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Bromodichloromethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Bromoform	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	ı	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Bromomethane	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Carbon Disulfide	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Carbon Tetrachloride	4.51	J	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Chlorobenzene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Chloroethane	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Chloroform	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Chloromethane	10.0	ប	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Dibromochloromethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Ethylbenzene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Methylene Chloride	6.00	U	6.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Styrene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Tetrachloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Toluene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Trichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Vinyl chloride	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Xylenes, total	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	112 %		60-140	-		L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B



264 Welsh Pool Road Exton, PA 19341 Phone: 610-280-3000 Fax: 610-280-3041

WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354 Project: RC-008
Project Number: K3986
Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/17/2012 12:57

B2LX96 1209026-02 (Water) Vulula

			•					
Analyte	Result and Qualifier	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method
		Lionville Lab	oratory					
Volatile Organic Compounds	by SW846 8260B							
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	96 %	65-120			L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	94 %	75-130			L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354

Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/17/2012 12:57

B2LX97 1209026-04 (Water)

Reporting

Mehr Method Analyzed Dilution Batch Prepared Units

Analyte	Result and Qualifier		Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method
			Lionville Labo	oratory					
Volatile Organic Compounds b	y SW846 8260B 5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260B
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260E
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260E
1.1-Dichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260E
1.1-Dichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260I
1,2-Dichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	. 1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260I
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260E
1,2-Dichloropropane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260E
2-Butanone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260E
2-Hexanone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260E
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260I
Acetone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Benzene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Bromodichloromethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Bromoform	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Bromomethane	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Carbon Disulfide	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Carbon Tetrachloride	4.34	J	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260E
Chlorobenzene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Chloroethane	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Chloroform	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Chloromethane	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260I
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260I
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Dibromochloromethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Ethylbenzene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260I
Methylene Chloride	6.00	U	6.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Styrene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Tetrachloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Toluene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	. 1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Trichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Vinyl chloride	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260
Xylenes, total	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	118%		60-140			L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354 Project: RC-008
Project Number: K3986
Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/17/2012 12:57

B2LX97 1209026-04 (Water) Violable

Analyte	Result and Qualifier	Reporting Limit	Uni ts	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method
		Lionville Lab	oratory					
Volatile Organic Compounds b	y SW846 8260B							20.40
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	101 %	65-120			L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	82601
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98 %	75-130			L209089	09/12/2012	09/12/2012	8260

Appendix 4

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



264 Weish Pool Road Exton, Pennsylvania 19341 Phone (610) 280-3000 Fax (610) 280-3041

Case Narrative

Client: WC-HANFORD RC-008 K3986

LVL#: 1209025

W.O. #: 60049-001-001-0001-00 Date Received: 09-11-2012

GC/MS VOLATILE

Two (2) water samples were collected on 09-12-2012.

The samples and associated QC samples were prepared and analyzed 09-12-2012 according to criteria set forth in Lionville Laboratory SOPs. The preparation procedure was based on SW846 Method 5030B and the analysis procedure was based on SW846 Method 8260B for TCL Volatile target compounds.

Lionville Laboratory (LvL) is NELAP accredited by the State of Pennsylvania. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager. LvL certifies that all test results meet the requirements of NELAC with any exception noted in the following statements:

- 1. Discrepancies from the sample acceptance policy have been recorded on the Sample Receipt Checklist.
- 2. Samples were analyzed within holding time.
- 3. Non-target compounds were not detected in these samples.
- 4. All obtainable surrogate recoveries were within QC acceptance criteria.
- 5. All obtainable matrix spike recoveries were within QC acceptance criteria.
- 6. All blank spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 7. The method blank was below the reporting limit for all target analytes.
- 8. All initial calibrations associated with this data set were within acceptance criteria using the mean RSD described in method 8000B. Per method 8000B/8260B, the attached Table 1 provides the mean RSD and indicates the target compounds where the RSD exceeded 15%; results for the indicated target compounds are considered to have greater uncertainty.
- 9. All continuing calibration standards analyzed prior to sample extracts were within acceptance criteria.
- 10. All internal standard area and retention time criteria were met.

- 11. Manual integrations are performed according to SOP QA-125 to produce quality data with utmost integrity. All manual integrations are required to be technically valid and properly documented. Appropriate technical flags are defined in the Glossary ("Technical Flags For Manual Integration").
- 12. "I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature."

9/11/12

Iain Daniels

LvL Laboratory Manager

CH2MHill Plateau	Remediation
Company	

CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST

RC-008Q-014

Page 1 of 1

Collector MA Wh		Contact/Requester WATERS-HUSTED, K	Telephone No. 376-4650
SAF No.	RC-008O	Sampling Origin Hanford Site	Purchase Order/Charge Code 302326ES20
Project Title	ERDF, September 2012	Logbook No. HNF-N-506 36/12	Ice Chest No. N/A 6W5-054
		Method of Shipment GOVERNMENT VEHICLE	Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. N/A 7989-3049-64450
Shipped To (Lab)	Lionville Laboratory Incorporated	Priority: 45 Days	Offsite Property No. N/A 4017
Protocol	GPP	To wall	

POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS

Contains Radioactive Material at concentrations that may or may not be regulated for transportation per 49 CFR/IATA Dangerous Goods Regulations but are not releasable per DOE Order 458.1.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

Hold Time

Total Activity Exemption: Yes 🗹 No 🗌

C.O.C.#

**Submit invoices and deliverables to JH Kessner, BHI **FAX copies of Ebertine/Lionville log-in to JH Kessner (1-425-969-4823) and Scot Fitzgerald (509-373-7495).

**Sample Management wil	send all results	to Mike Peloquin.
-------------------------	------------------	-------------------

									
Sample No.	Filter		De	ate	Time	No/Type Container	Sample Analysis	Holding Time	Prescryative
B2LX94	V	w	SEP	1 0 20	17116	1x500-mL G/P	6010_METALS_ICP_TR: List-3 (8)	6 Months	HNO3 to pH <2
B2LX96	N	w	·	1 21 21	11 <u> </u>	1x1-L aGs*	TOX - 9020	28 Days	H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool-4C
B2LX96	N	W	\sqcup		-	1x500-mL G/P	TDS - 160.1	7 Days	Cool-4C
B2LX96 ·	N	w	 		-	1x20-mL P	Activity Scan	6 Months	None
B2LX96 .	N	W			 	1x250-mL G/P	2320 ALKALINITY: Alkalinity (1)	14 Days	Cool-4C
B2LX96	N	w				1x500-mL G/P	NO2/NO3 - 353.2	28 Days	H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool~4C
B2LX96		w				1x500-mL P	IC Anions - 300.0	48 Hours	Cool~4C
B2LX96	N	w	L			1x500-mL G/P	6010_METALS_ICP_TR: List-3 (8)	6 Months	HNO3 to pH <2
B2LX96 •	N	w		 	 	3x40-mL aGs*	VOA - 8260A (TCL)	14 Days	HCI or H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool~4C

Relinguished By	Print Sign	Date/Time	Received By	A Print	Sign	Date/Time	1	Mau	1X -
MA White By	24 10: CE	P 1-0 2012 pso	C.F. 170x	(ゲイン)	9-10-12	1920	s	- Soil	DS = Drum Solids
CHPRC Y	allite st				-11011	Date/Time	SE	- Sediment	DL - Drum Liquids
Relinquished By	\sim		Received By			Date Inic	SO	 Solid 	T = Tissue
CFU How	(Juit 20 9-10-13	- 1400:	KEUE-Y	7/			SL	= Shidge	WI = Wipe L = Liquid
Relinquished By		Date/Time	Received By	To the state of th		Date/Time	W	- Water - Oil	V = Vegetation
Felle	9-11-12	1439 1439	VITOR HERN	LUDER	9-11-R	1439	1	- Air	X - Other
Relinquished By		Date/Time	Received By			Date/Time			
				•					
FINAL SAMPLE	Disposal Method (e.g., Return to customer, per la	ab procedure, used in proce	:35)	Dispose	ed By			Date	Time
DISPOSITION		• •	-	·					
t	l							4 0004 04	10 /DE\/ 9\

A-6004-842 (REV 2)

PRINTED ON 8/2/2012

MA White							СНАП	N OF CUST	ODY/SAMPLE ANA	LYSIS REQUEST	C.O.C.# RC-008Q-015 Page 1 of 1
							Contact/I	Requester WA	TERS-HUSTED, K	Telephone No. 376-4650	Telephone No. 376-4650
	Collector CHPRC						Purchase Order/Charge Code	Purchase Order/Charge Code 302326ES20			
SAF No.	RC-	008Q					Sampling			T. Charakia NVA	11-1-15-11
Project Title	ERI	OF, Se	eptember 2	012			Logbook	No. HNF-N-50	0636112	Ice Chest No. N/A	W5-054
Shipped To (Lab)					morated		Method o	of Shipment GO	VERNMENT VEHICLE	Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.	N/A 7999-3049-6450
Protocol	Clab) Lionville Laboratory Incorporated Method of Snipment GOVERNIVERVI VEHICLE GPP Priority: 45 Days					Offsite Property No.	N/A 4017				
POSSIBLE SAMI **Contains Radioacti Dengerous Goods Re	on Materi	al at con	centrations that	may or	may not be Order 458.1			per 49 CFR/IATA	**Sample Management will send all resul	n to JH Keamer (1-425-969-4823) and Scot Fitzge	rald (509-373-7495). Preservative
Sample No.	Filter	*	Date		Time	No/Type	Container		Sample Analysis		HNO3 to pH <2
B2LX95 ·	Y	W	EP 1 0 20	12	115	1x500	-mL G/P	6010_METALS_IC	CP_TR: List-3 (8)	6 Months	
B2LX97	N	w			1	1x1-	L aGs*	TOX - 9020		28 Days	H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool-4C
B2LX97 •	N	w		 	1	1x500)-mL G/P	TDS - 160.1		7 Days	Cool~4C
B2LX97	N	w		 		1x2	0-mL P	Activity Scan		6 Months	None
B2LX97		w		+	 	1x250)-mL G/P	2320_ALKALINIT	Y: Alkalinity (1)	14 Days	Cool-4C
	N	w		 -	+	<u> </u>	0-mL G/P	NO2/NO3 - 353.2		28 Days	H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool~4C
B2LX97 ,	N	W			 	1150	00-mL P	IC Anions - 300.0		48 Hours	Cool-4C
B2LX97	N	W		-	 		0-mL G/P	6010_METALS_K	CP_TR: List-3 (8)	6 Months	HNO3 to pH <2
B2LX97 .	N	W		+-	 ,		mL aGs*	VOA - 8260A (TC		14 Days H	CI or H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool~4C
1 R21 X97	I At	1 77 1	. 17	1	44	1 5270	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		•		

Relinguished By Print Sign	Date/Time	Received By	Print _	Sign	Detc/Time	1	Matrix *
Relinquished By Print Sign MA White	SEP 1 0 2012 1250	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	Chill	9-10-12	Date/Time	S = Soil SE = Sedin	DS = Drum Solids nent DL = Drum Liquids
Relinquished By	Date/Time	Received By			Date: Little	SO - Solid	
105 11 10 X 0-1	012 1400	FEDEL	\sim 0			SL - Stude	
SALINITARIA	Date/Time	Received By	Henry.		Date/Time	W ≃ Wate O ≃ Oil	V = Vegetation
Relinquished By		VILTOR HERR	•	11-12 1439		A - Air	X = Other
Fled to 9			WILL I	1, 1, 2, 1, 2,	Date/Time		
Relinquished By	Date/Time	Received By					
		İ					
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal Method (e.g., Return to	o customer, per lab procedure, used in pro-	xess)	Disp	osed By			Date/Time
DISPOSITION		,					
Diat Ostaton						A-60	04-842 (REV 2)

PRINTED ON 8/2/2012

Appendix 5

Data Validation Supporting Documentation

LEVEL:	A	В		D	
PROJECT:	ERDF		DATA PACKAG	E: K3986	>
VALIDATOR:		LAB: LLT		DATE: (Z	0/12
			SDG: K	3986 <u> </u>	•
		ANALYSES	PERFORMED		
SW-846 8260		SW-846 8260 (TCLP)	SW-846 8270		SW-846 8270 (TCLP)
SAMPLES/MAT	RIX				
BZLX	(१८ वि	351×2.	7		
19 1					
	ACKAGE COMP				Luc der
Technical verifica	ACKAGE COMPI	present?			\sim
Technical verifica	tion documentation	present?			\sim
Technical verifica	tion documentation	present?			\sim
Technical verifica	tion documentation	present?			\sim
Technical verifica	tion documentation	present?			\sim
Technical verifica Comments:	tion documentation	present?			\sim
Technical verifica Comments: 2. INSTRU	tion documentation	present?	ON (Levels D and	E)	Yes No N
Technical verifica Comments: 2. INSTRU GC/MS tuning/pe Initial calibrations	UMENT TUNING A	AND CALIBRATI ceptable?	ON (Levels D and	E)	Yes No N
Technical verifica Comments: 2. INSTRU GC/MS tuning/pe Initial calibrations Continuing calibrations	UMENT TUNING A rformance check acceptable?	AND CALIBRATI ceptable?	ON (Levels D and	E)	Yes No NYes No NYes No N
2. INSTRUGC/MS tuning/pe Initial calibrations Continuing calibrations Standards traceab	UMENT TUNING A rformance check acceptable?	AND CALIBRATI ceptable?	ON (Levels D and	E)	Yes No NYes No NYes No NYes No NYes No N
2. INSTRU GC/MS tuning/pe Initial calibrations Continuing calibrations Standards traceab Standards expired	UMENT TUNING A rformance check acceptable?	AND CALIBRATI ceptable?	ON (Levels D and	E)	Yes No NYes No NYes No NYes No NYes No NYes No N
2. INSTRU GC/MS tuning/pe Initial calibrations Continuing calibrations Standards traceab Standards expired	UMENT TUNING A rformance check acceptable?	AND CALIBRATI ceptable?	ON (Levels D and	E)	Yes No NYes No NYes No NYes No NYes No NYes No N

BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)	
Calibration blanks analyzed? (Levels D, E)	
Calibration blank results acceptable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
_aboratory blanks analyzed?	
Laboratory blank results acceptable?	Yed No N/A
Field/trip blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)	Yes (No) N/A
Field/trip blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)	Yes No N/
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Comments:	no FD
4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)	<u></u>
Surrogates/system monitoring compounds analyzed?	Ve No N/A
Surrogate/system monitoring compound recoveries acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Surrogates traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No NA
Surrogates expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
MS/MSD samples analyzed?	
MS/MSD results acceptable?	
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/A)
MS/MSD standards? (Levels D, E)	Yes No W/A
LCS/BSS samples analyzed?	
LCS/BSS results acceptable?	
Standards traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/A)
Standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No NA
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	Yes N) N/A
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Comments:	
	no PAC

5. PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)	
MS/MSD samples analyzed?	Yes No N/A
MS/MSD RPD values acceptable?	Yes No N/A
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No 🕅
Field duplicate RPD values acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Field split RPD values acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
Comments:	
6. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE (Levels D and E)	$\Gamma \wedge$
Internal standards analyzed?	Yes No M/A
Iinternal standard areas acceptable?	
Internal standard retention times acceptable?	1
Standards traceable?	Yes No N/A
Standards expired?	Yes No N/A
Transcription/calculation errors?	Yes No N/A
Comments:	
7. HOLDING TIMES (all levels)	•
Samples properly preserved?	Yes\No N/A
Sample holding times acceptable?	
Comments:	
	•

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION, QUANTITATION, AND DETEC	TION LIMITS (an		
levels)		1	
Compound identification acceptable? (Levels D, E)			~~~
Compound quantitation acceptable? (Levels D, E)	_		_
Results reported for all requested analyses?	Ýes	No	NA
Results supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)			
Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E)			
Laboratory properly identified and coded all TIC? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	(N/A
Detection limits meet RDL?	(Y 3 s ¹	No	N/A
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	ØDY
Comments:			
9. SAMPLE CLEANUP (Levels D and E)			
GPC cleanup performed?	Yes	Nø	N/A
GPC check performed?			
GPC check recoveries acceptable?			
GPC calibration performed?			
GPC calibration check performed?		١.	
GPC calibration check retention times acceptable?		- 1	
Check/calibration materials traceable?			
Check/calibration materials Expired?		- 1	
Analytical batch QC given similar cleanup?		1	
Transcription/Calculation Errors?		- 1	
Comments:		1	

Appendix 6 Additional Documentation Requested by Client



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354 Project: RC-008
Project Number: K3986
Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/17/2012 12:57

Analyte	Result and Quali	fiers	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Batch L209089 - SW 5030B										
Blank (L209089-BLK1)				Prepared &	Analyze	d: 09/12/2	012			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	u g/L						
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
1,1-Dichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
1,1-Dichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
1,2-Dichloroethane	5.00	U	5.00	u g/L						
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
1,2-Dichloropropane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
2-Butanone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L						
2-Hexanone	10.0	บ	10.0	ug/L						
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L						
Acetone	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L						
Benzene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Bromodichloromethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Bromoform	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Bromomethane	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L						
Carbon Disulfide	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Carbon Tetrachloride	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Chlorobenzene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Chloroethane	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L						
Chloroform	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Chloromethane	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L						
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L		-				
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Dibromochloromethane	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Ethylbenzene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Methylene Chloride	6.00	U	6.00	ug/L						
Styrene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Tetrachloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Toluene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Trichloroethene	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Vinyl chloride	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L						
Xylenes, total	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L		•				
Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	53.5			ug/L	50.00	0	107	60-140		



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354 Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/17/2012 12:57

Analyte	Result and Qualifiers	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Batch L209089 - SW 5030B									
Blank (L.209089-BLK1)			Prepared &	Analyzed	: 09/12/2	012			
Surrogate: Tohiene-d8	48.9		ug/L	50.000		98	65-120		
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	49.4		ug/L	50.000		99	75-130		
LCS (L209089-BS1)			Prepared &	k Analyzed	: 09/12/2	2012			
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	53.7	5.00	ug/L	50.000		107	70-130		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	44.2	5.00	ug/L	50.000		88	65-130		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	44.9	5.00	ug/L	50.000		90	70-125		
1,1-Dichloroethane	50.2	5.00	ug/L	50.000		100	70-130		
1,1-Dichloroethene	47.1	5.00	ug/L	50.000		94	65-140		
1,2-Dichloroethane	52.2	5.00	ug/L	50.000		104	60-140		
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	97.1	5.00	ug/L	100.00		97	70-130		
1,2-Dichloropropane	48.1	5.00	ug/L	50.000		96	75-125		
2-Butanone	. 48.1	10.0	ug/L	50.000		96	20-200		
2-Hexanone	49.0	10.0	ug/L	50.000		98	20-200		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	41.4	10.0	ug/L	50.000		83	45-150		¥
Acetone	73.0	10.0	ug/L	50.000		146	20-220		
Benzene	48.4	5.00	ug/L	50.000		97	75-125		
Bromodichloromethane	51.7	5.00	ug/L	50.000		103	65-130		
Bromoform	48.6	5.00	ug/L	50.000	r	97	70-120		
Bromomethane	47.2	10.0	ug/L	50.000	l:	94	50-150		
Carbon Disulfide	47.5	5.00	ug/L	50.000	l:	95	50-150		
Carbon Tetrachloride	55.8	5.00	ug/L	50.000	l	112	65-130		
Chlorobenzene	47.6	5.00	ug/L	50.000		95	75-125		
Chloroethane	48.7	10.0	ug/L	50.000	E .	97	65-140		
Chloroform	53.2	5.00	ug/L	50.000	L	106	75-125		
Chloromethane	51.1	10.0	ug/L	50.000	•	102	50-135		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	48.1	5.00	ug/L	50.000	Y	96	70-125		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	43.6	5.00	ug/L	50.000	·	. 87	70-125		
Dibromochloromethane	48.8	5.00	ug/L	50.000	I	98	70-130		
Ethylbenzene	50.4	5.00	ug/L	50.000		101	75-125		
	47.4	6.00	ug/L	50.000	Y	95	55-150		
Methylene Chloride	49.3	5.00	ug/L	50.000	1	99	75-130		
Styrene Tetrachloroethene	48.3	5.00	ug/L	50.000)	97	70-130		
	49.0	5.00	ug/L	50.000)	98	70-130		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	47.3	5.00	ug/L	50.000)	95	70-130		
Toluene	48.9	5.00	ug/L	50.000		98	70-130		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	48.9	5.00	ug/L	50.000		98	75-125		
Trichloroethene	70.7	2.00	-6 -						



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354 Project: RC-008
Project Number: K3986
Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/17/2012 12:57

Analyte	Result and Qualifiers	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Batch L209089 - SW 5030B									
LCS (L209089-BS1)			Prepared &		: 09/12/20				
Vinyl chloride	51.8	10.0	ug/L	50.000		104	55-135		
Xylenes, total	149	5.00	ug/L	150.00		99	70-130		
Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	51.6		ug/L	50.000		103	60-140		
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	48.0		ug/L	50.000		96	65-120		
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	48.7		ug/L	50.000		97	75-130		
Matrix Spike (L209089-MS2)	Source: 1	1209026-04	Prepared 8	k Analyzed					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	50.7	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 U		70-130		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	38.7	5.00	ug/L	50.000			65-130		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	42.5	5.00	ug/L	50.000			70-125		
1,1-Dichloroethane	48.2	5.00	ug/L	50.000			70-130		
1,1-Dichloroethene	43.8	5.00	u g/L	50.000			65-140		
1,2-Dichloroethane	49.4	5.00	ug/L	50.000			60-140		
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	91.6	5.00	ug/L	100.00			70-130 75-125		
1,2-Dichloropropane	47.1	5.00	ug/L	50.000					
2-Butanone	34,4	10.0	ug/L	50.000			20-200 20-200		
2-Hexanone	31.3	10.0	ug/L	50.000			45-150		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	32.7	10.0	ug/L	50.000					
Acetone	32.8	10.0	ug/L	50.000			20-220		
Benzene	46.9	5.00	ug/L	50.000			75-125		
Bromodichloromethane	50.0	5.00	ug/L	50.000			65-130		
Bromoform	43.1	5.00	ug/L	50.000			70-120		
Bromomethane	44.4	10.0	u g/L	50.000			50-150		
Carbon Disulfide	45.6	5.00	ug/L	50.000			50-150		
Carbon Tetrachloride	57.2	5.00	ug/L	50.000			65-130		
Chlorobenzene	46.0	5.00	ug/L	50.000			75-125		
Chloroethane	47.7	10.0	ug/L	50.000		-	65-140		
Chloroform	51.3	5.00	ug/L	50.000			75-125		
Chloromethane	44.3	10.0	ug/L	50.000			50-135		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	45.6	5.00	ug/L	50.000			70-125		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	40.5	5.00	ug/L	50.000			70-125		
Dibromochloromethane	44.5	5.00	ug/L	50.000			70-130		
Ethylbenzene	48.6	5.00	ug/L	50.000			75-125		
Methylene Chloride	45.0	6.00	ug/L	50.000			55-150		
Styrene	47.4	5.00	ug/L	50.000			75-130		
Tetrachloroethene	47.4	5.00	ug/L	50.000			70-130		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	46.0	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00	U 92	70-130		



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354 Project: RC-008
Project Number: K3986
Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/17/2012 12:57

Analyte	Result and Qualifiers	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPE Limi
Satch L209089 - SW 5030B	Source: 1	209026-04	Prepared &	Analyzed:	09/12/20	12			
Matrix Spike (L209089-MS2)	45.5	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 U		70-130		
oluene	45.1	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 U	90	70-130		
rans-1,3-Dichloropropene	46.9	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 U	94	75-125		
richloroethene	43.7	10.0	ug/L	50.000	10.0 U	87	55-135		
/inyl chloride	143	5.00	ug/L	150.00	5.00 L	J 95	70-130		
Cylenes, total			-/1	50.000		103	60-140		
Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	51.6		ug/L ug/L	50.000		98	65-120		
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	49.1		ug/L ug/L	50.000		100	75-130		
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	50.2				00/13/3/	212			
Matrix Spike Dup (L209089-MSD2)		1209026-04	Prepared 8	50.000	5.00 T	J 103	70-130	2	2
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	51.7	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 T		65-130	3	2
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	40.0	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 \		70-125	6	2
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	45.0	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 1		70-130	2	2
1,1-Dichloroethane	49.1	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 1		65-140	5	2
1,1-Dichloroethene	45.9	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00		60-140	5	2
1,2-Dichloroethane	52.2	5.00	ug/L ~	100.00			70-130	2	:
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	93.3	5.00	ug/L	50.000			75-125	3	
1,2-Dichloropropane	48.7	5.00	ug/L				20-200	6	:
2-Butanone	36.4	10.0	ug/L	50.000 50.000			20-200	16	
2-Hexanone	36.9	10.0	ug/L			_	45-150	12	2
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	36.8	10.0	ug/L	50.000			20-220	10	
Acetone	36.2	10.0	ug/L	50.000		-	75-125	3	
Benzene	48.4	5.00	ug/L	50.000		_	65-130	1	
Bromodichloromethane	50.6	5.00	u g/ L	50.000				7	:
Bromoform	46.1	5.00	ug/L	50.000			70-120 50-150	7	
Bromomethane	47.6	10.0	ug/L	50.000				0.5	
Carbon Disulfide	45.8	5.00	u g /L	50.000			50-150	6	:
Carbon Tetrachloride	60.5	5.00	ug/L	50.000			65-130	5	
Chlorobenzene	48.5	5.00	ug/L	50.000			75-125	4	
Chloroethane	49.5	10.0	ug/L	50.000			65-140	4	
Chloroform	53.2	5.00	ug/L	50.000			75-125	•	
Chloromethane	46.9	10.0	ug/L	50.000			50-135	6	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	46.5	5.00	ug/L	50.000			70-125	2	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	42.0	5.00	ug/L	50.00			70-125	4	
Dibromochloromethane	50.1	5.00	ug/L	50.00			70-130	12	
	51.1	5.00	ug/L	50.00			75-125	5	
Ethylbenzene Methylene Chloride	47.6	6.00	ug/L	50.00	6.00	U 95	55-150	5	



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354 Project: RC-008
Project Number: K3986
Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/17/2012 12:57

Analyte	Result and Qualifiers	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Batch L209089 - SW 5030B									
Matrix Spike Dup (L209089-MSD2)	Source: 1	209026-04	Prepared &	Analyzed					30
	49.9	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 U	100	75-130	5	20
Styrene	49.3	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 U	J 99	70-130	4	20
Tetrachloroethene			ug/L	50.000	5.00 U	J 94	70-130	2	20
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	46.9	5.00	•	50.000			70-130	3	20
Toluene	46.9	5.00	ug/L				70-130	8	20
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	48.8	5.00	ug/L	50.000					20
	49.7	5.00	ug/L	50.000	5.00 T	J 99	75-125	6	
Trichloroethene	44.7	10.0	ug/L	50.000	10.0 €	J 89	55-135	2	20
Vinyt chloride		5.00	ug/L	150.00	5.00 T	ປ 101	70-130	6	20
Xylenes, total	151	3.00							
Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	51.3		ug/L	50.000		103	60-140		
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	49.0		ug/L	50.000)	98	65-120		
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	48.6		ug/L	50.000)	97	75-130		

Date:

21 November 2012

To:

Washington Closure Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From:

ELR Consulting

Project:

ERDF Groundwater Well Samples - September 2012

Subject:

Inorganics - Data Package No. K3986-LLI

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. K3986 prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Sample Date	Media	Validation	Analysis
B2LX94	9/10/12	Water	C	See note 1
B2LX95	9/10/12	Water	С	See note 1
B2LX96	9/10/12	Water	С	See note 1
B2LX97	9/10/12	Water	С	See note 1

^{1 -} ICP metals by 6010B.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the WCH validation statement of work and WCH-198, Rev. 0, "Groundwater Protection Plan for the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility". Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification

Appendix 3. Annotated Laboratory Reports

Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Holding Times & Sample Preservation

Analytical holding times for ICP metals are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Samples must be analyzed within six (6) months for ICP metals.

All sample preservation and holding times were met.

Blanks

Preparation (Method) Blanks

At least one preparation blank, consisting of deionized distilled water processed through each sample preparation and analysis procedure, must be prepared and analyzed with every sample delivery group. In the case of positive blank results, samples with digestate concentrations (in ug/L) less than five times the preparation blank value have had their associated values qualified as non-detected and flagged "U". Samples with concentrations of greater than five times the highest blank concentration do not require qualification.

In the case of negative blank results, if the absolute value exceeds the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), all nondetects are rejected and flagged "UR" and all detects that are less than ten times the absolute value of the associated preparation blank result are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the absolute value of the negative preparation blank is greater than the IDL and less than or equal to the CRDL, all nondetects are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ" and all detects less than ten times the absolute value of the blank are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the sample results are greater than ten times the absolute value of the preparation blank, no qualification is necessary.

Due to method blank contamination, all aluminum and manganese results were qualified as undetected and flagged "UJ".

All other preparation blank results were acceptable.

Field Blanks

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

Accuracy`

Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data. The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Recoveries must fall within the range of 75% to 125%. Samples with a recovery of less than 25% and a sample result below the instrument detection limit (IDL) are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a recovery of 30% to 74% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a recovery of greater than 125% or less than 75% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Finally, for samples with a spike recovery greater than 125% and a sample result less than the IDL, no qualification is required.

All accuracy results were acceptable.

Precision

Laboratory Duplicate Samples

Laboratory duplicate sample analyses are used to measure laboratory precision and sample homogeneity. Results must be within relative percent difference (RPD) limits of plus or minus 20% for water samples. If RPD values are out of specification and the ample concentration is greater than five times the CRDL, all associated sample results are qualified as estimated and flagged "J". If RPD values are plus or minus two times the CRDL and the sample concentration is less than five times the CRDL, all associated sample results are qualified as estimated and flagged "J/UJ". The performance criteria for aqueous laboratory duplicates are an RPD less than 20% for positive sample results greater than five times the CRDL or plus or minus the CRDL for positive sample results less than five times the CRDL. Sample results outside the criteria are qualified as estimates and flagged "J/UJ".

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate Samples

Two sets of field duplicate samples (B2LX94/B2LX95 & B2LX96/B2LX97) were submitted for analysis. Field duplicates are compared using the same criteria as for laboratory duplicates. All field duplicate results were acceptable.

Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the required quantitation limits (RQLs) to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All laboratory detection levels met the analyte specific RQL.

Completeness

Data package No. K3986 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

The following minor deficiencies were noted:

• Due to method blank contamination, all aluminum and manganese results were qualified as undetected and flagged "UJ".

Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the WCH statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

REFERENCES

Washington Closure Hanford Contract #S00W307A00 (March 2008), *Data Validation Services*.

WCH-198, Rev 0, Groundwater Protection Plan for the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility, February 2008.

Appendix 1 Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with WCH validation SOW are as follows:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- BJ Applied to inorganic analyses only. Indicates the analyte concentration was greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL and is considered an estimated value.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decisionmaking purposes).
- N Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

INORGANICS ORGANIC DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

SDG: K3986	REVIEWER: ELR	Project: ERDF	PAGE_1_OF_1
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES	RÉASON
Aluminum Manganese	UJ	All	Method blank contamination

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

Appendix 3

Annotated Laboratory Reports



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354

Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/18/2012 12:58

B2LX94 1209026-01 (Water)

Analyte	Result and Qualifier		Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Anaiyzed	Method
Analyte				<u>.</u>					
		Lic	nville Lat	ooratory					
Metals by SW846 600	00/7000 series								
Aluminum	27.9	BUJ	50.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Antimony	6.00	U	6.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Arsenic	2.91	В	4.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Barium	44.6		5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Cadmium	2.00	U	2.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Calcium	41300		1000	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Chromium	4.25		2.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Cobalt	20.0	U	20.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Copper	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Iron	22.8	В	50.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Lead	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Magnesium	13100		750	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Manganese	1.20	BUJ	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Nickel	1.70	В	40.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Potassium	4610		4000	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Selenium	4.16	В	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Silver	2.00	U	2.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Sodium	16500		500	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Tin	1.00	U	1.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Vanadium	39.4		25.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Zinc	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354 Project: RC-008

Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner Reported: 09/18/2012 12:58

B2LX95 1209026-03 (Water) 11/21/12

	Result and Qualifier		Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method
Analyte	result and Analites								
		Li	onville La	borato r y					
Metals by SW846 6000/7	000 series								
Aluminum	15.2	BUJ	50.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Antimony	6.00	U	6.00	ug/L	1 .	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Arsenic	3.28	В	4.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Barium	45.0		5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Cadmium	2.00	U	2.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Calcium	41400		1000	ug/L	1.	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Chromium	3.99		2.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Cobalt	20.0	U	20.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Copper	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Iron	18.8	В	50.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Lead	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Magnesium	13100		750	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Manganese	1.22	виз	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Nickel	1.58	В	40.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Potassium	4630		4000	u g/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Selenium	4.16	В	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Silver	2.00	U	2.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Sodium	16500		500	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Tin	1.00	U	1.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Vanadium	38.8		25.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Zinc	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354

Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/18/2012 12:58

B2LX96 1209026-02 (Water) 11/21/12

Ameliate	Result and Qualifier		Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method
Analyte									
		Li	ionville La	boratory					
Metals by SW846 600	0/7000 series		,				20/10/2010	00/15/0010	6010B
Aluminum	27.0	BUJ	50.0	ug/L	1.	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	
Antimony	6.00	U	6.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Arsenic	3.31	В	4.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Barium	45.6		5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Cadmium	2.00	U	2.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Calcium	41600		1000	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Chromium	24.2		2.00	ug/L	1.	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Cobalt	20.0	U	20.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Copper	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Iron	103		50.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Lead	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Magnesium	13200		750	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Manganese	3.14	B U S	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Nickel	10.5	В	40.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Potessium	4670		4000	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Selenium	4.03	В	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Silver	2.00	U	2.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Sodium	16800	-	500	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Tin	1.00	U	1.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Vanadium	40.0	-	25.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Zinc	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354

Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/18/2012 12:58

B2LX97

ulzdie 1209026-04 (Water) Reporting

Analyte	Result and Qualifier		imit.	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method
		Lio	nville Lal	poratory					
Metals by SW846 600	00/7000 series								
Aluminum	22.7 B	U	50.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Antimony	6.00 U	J	6.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Arsenic	3.58 E	3	4.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Barium	44.3		5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Cadmium	2.00 t	j	2.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Calcium	40900		1000	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Chromium	25.1		2.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Cobalt	20.0 t	J	20.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Copper	10.0 U	J	10.0	ug/L	1.	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Iron	104		50.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Lead	5.00 (J	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Magnesium	12900		750	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Manganese	2.81 I	BUJ	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Nickel		В	40.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Potassium	4580		4000	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Selenium	4.01 I	В	5.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Silver	2.00 l	IJ	2.00	ug/L	i	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Sodium	16200		500	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Tin	1.00	IJ	1.00	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Vanadium	38.4		25.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
Zinc	27.1		10.0	ug/L	1	L209076	09/12/2012	09/17/2012	6010B
				_					

Appendix 4

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



264 Welsh Pool Road Exton, Pennsylvania 19341 Phone (610) 280-3000 Fax (610) 280-3041

Case Narrative

Client: WC-HANFORD RC-008

LVL#: 1209026

SDG/SAF#: K3986/RC-008

W.O.#: 60049-001-001-0001-00

Date Received: 09-11-12

METALS

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results. Lionville Laboratory (LvL) certifies that all test results meet the requirements of NELAC except as noted below.

- 1. This narrative covers the analyses of 4 water samples.
- The samples were prepared and analyzed in accordance with methods listed on the data report forms.
- 3. All analyses were performed within the required holding times.
- 4. Please refer to the Sample Receipt Check List for any sample discrepancies in LvL's sample acceptance policy.
- All Initial and Continuing Calibration Verifications (ICV/CCVs) were within the 90-110% control limits.
- 6. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Blanks (ICB/CCBs) were within control limits (less than the LOQ).
- 7. All preparation/method blanks (MB) were within method criteria {less than the Limit of Quantitation, samples greater than 20X MB value}.
- 8. All ICP Interference Check Standards were within control limits.
- 9. All laboratory control samples (LCS) were within the 80-120% control limits.
- 10. All matrix spike (MS) recoveries were within the 75-125% control limits.
- 11. All duplicate analyses were within the 20% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) control limit critieria. The ± 20% RPD control limit applies to sample results greater than ten times the MDL. The sample result for Selenium was less than ten times the MDL.

- 12. For the purposes of this report, the data have been reported to the Limit of Detection (LOD). Values between the LOD and the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) are acquired in a region of less-certain quantification.
- 13. LvL is NELAP accredited by the State of Pennsylvania. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.

I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

9/26/12 Date

Iain Daniels 0

Laboratory Manager Lionville Laboratory

alm/09-026

CH2MHill Pla	iteau	Ren	edia	tion				CHAIN	N OF CUST	YSIS REQUEST	C.O.C.# RC-008Q-014 Page 1 of 1				
Company											, ago lot.				
Collector MAW								Contact/R	Requester WAT	TERS-HUSTED, K	Telephone No. 376-4650				
CHER						··-		Sampling (Origin Hanf	ord Site	Purchase Order/Charge Code	302326ES20			
SAF No.		0080						Logbook		06 36112	Ice Chest No. N/A	302326ES20 FW5-054			
Project Title	ERI	OF, S	epten	iber 20	12					VERNMENT VEHICLE		N/A 7989-3049-6459			
Shipped To (Lab)	Lion	nville	Labo	ratory	Incor	orated		Method o	f Shipment GO	VERNMENT VEHICLE		N/A 4/017			
Protocol	GP	P						Priority:	45 Days			ivity Exemption: Yes V No			
POSSIBLE SAMP **Contains Radioactiv Dangerous Goods Re	e Materi	al at co	ncentrat	ions that r	THEY OF TO	ay not be rder 458.1			per 49 CFR/IATA	**Submit invoices and deliverables to JH Ke **FAX copies of Ebertine/Lionville log-in to **Sample Management will send all results to Sample Analysis	JH Kessner (1-425-969-4823) and Scot Frize	Preservative			
Sample No.	Filter	*	D	ate	Т	ime	No/Type	Container			6 Months	HNO3 to pH <2			
B2LX94 .	Y	W	SEP	1 0 20	112 (15	1x500)-mL G/P	6010_METALS_IC	CP_TR: List-3 (8)	28 Days	H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool-4C			
B2LX96 •	N	W				1	1x1-	L aGs*	TOX - 9020			Cool~4C			
B2LX96 .	N	W					1x500	-mL G/P	TDS - 160.1		7 Days	None			
B2LX96 ·	N	W					1x2	0-mLP	Activity Scan			Cool-4C			
B2LX96	N	W			 		1x250)-mL G/P	2320_ALKALINIT	Y: Alkalinity (1)	14 Days				
B2LX96	N	w			 	 	1x500)-mL G/P	NO2/NO3 - 353.2		28 Days	H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool~4C			
		W	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	1x50	00-mL P	IC Anions - 300.0		48 Hours	Cool~4C			
B2LX96 •	N	W		 	┼	 	1x50	0-mL G/P	6010_METALS_IG	CP_TR: List-3 (8)	6 Months	HNO3 to pH <2			
B2LX96 ,	N	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		 	 	+		1 . 0 - 1	MA PROACTO		14 Days	HCI or H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool-4C			

	Sin.	Date/Time	Received By	Print Sign		Date/Time		Matr	ix *	
Relinquished By Relinquished By Relinquished By	Sign 9-10-	SEP 1 (1 2012 1250 Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time/#12	Received By Received By		9-10-12 9-18-12	Date/Time Date/Time	S SE SO SL W O A	 Soil Sediment Solid Shudge Water Oil Air 	DL T WI L V	 Drum Sotids Drum Liquids Tissue Wipe Liquid Vegetation Other
Relinquished By	9-11-12		Received By			Date/Time				
	(a) 1/ . B i - to outlines	ar lab percedure used in proce	<u> </u>	Disposed B	/			Dat	c/Time	
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal DISPOSITION	Method (e.g., Return to customer,)	per ran procedure, used in proce	-43/	•						
ווסו ווסו ווכט ופוע								1 0004 0	40 (05)	(0)

CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST									YSIS REQUEST	c.o.c.# RC-008Q-015		
Company								CHAI	OF COST			Page 1 of 1
Collector CHPF								Contact/I	Requester WA]	TERS-HUSTED, K	Telephone No. 376-4650	
SAF No.		-008						Sampling	Origin Hanf	ord Site	Purchase Order/Charge Code	302326ES20
Project Title				nber 20)12			Logbook	No. HNF-N-50	0636112	Ice Chest No. N/A	W5-054
Shipped To (Lab)						rporate		Method o	f Shipment GO	VERNMENT VEHICLE	Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. N/	A 7999-3049.6459
Protocol	GP							Priority:	45 Days		Offsite Property No. N/	/ L
POSSIBLE SAMI **Contains Radioacti Dangerous Goods Re	ve Materi	al at c	oncentrati	iona that n	nay or	may not b Order 458	e regulated for	transportation	per 49 CFR/IATA	**Submit invoices and deliverables to JH Ke	ssner, BHI JH Kesaner (1-425-969-4823) and Scot Fitzgerak	Exemption: Yes No \(\bigcap \)
Sample No.	Filter	*	Di	atc	٠. ٠	Time	No/Type	Container		Sample Analysis	Holding Time	Preservative
B2LX95 .	Y	w	SEP 1	0 201	2	115	1x500	-mL G/P	6010_METALS_IC	P_TR: List-3 (8)	6 Months	HNO3 to pH <2
B2LX97 .	N	w	<u> </u>			1	1x1	L aGs*	TOX - 9020		28 Days H	2SO4 to pH <2/Cool-4C
B2LX97 •	N	w				†	1x500	-mL G/P	TDS - 180.1		7 Days	Cool~4C
B2LX97 ,	N	W				1	1x2	0-mLP	Activity Scan		6 Months	None
B2LX97 -	N	w				1	1x250	-mL G/P	2320_ALKALINITY	': Alkalinity (1)	14 Days	Cool~4C
B2LX97 ,	N	w				1	1x506	-mL G/P	NO2/NO3 - 353.2		28 Days H	2SO4 to pH <2/Cool-4C
B2LX97 •	N	w	 			ļ	1x50	0-mLP	IC Anions - 300.0		48 Hours	Cool~4C
B2LX97	N	w	-			 	1x500)-mL G/P	6010_METALS_IC	P_TR: List-3 (8)	6 Months	HNO3 to pH <2
B2LX97	N	w		1	 	17	3x40-	mL aGs*	VOA - 8260A (TCL	.)	14 Days HCl o	or H2SO4 to pH <2/Cool~4C

18

Relinquished By	Print Sign		Date/Time	Received By	Print	Sign		Date/Time		Matr	ix *	
MA White MY	7/11/etc)	SEF	2 1-0 2012 iasov	Fulton	10/250	7-10	アラ	1350	s	- Soil		= Drum Solids
CHPRC Relinquished By				Received By	7		_	Date/Time	SE	SedimentSolid	DL T	Drum LiquidsTissue
CF. Hon CV	$\simeq \sim 9^{-16}$	のね	רונותייו	FEOCY	<i>x</i> 0				SIL	= Studge	WI	- Wipe
Relinquished By			Date/Time	Received By	Muning	•		Date/Time] w	= Water = Oil	L V	 Liquid Vegetation
tedo	9-	-1412	1439	VILTOR HE	ensuber	9-11-12	1439		Ă	- Air	x	- Other
Relinquished By			Date/Time	Received By				Date/Time	*			
FINAL SAMPLE	Disposal Method (e.g., Return to	customer, per la	b procedure, used in proce	ss)		Disposed By				Date	/Time	
DISPOSITION												
										A COO4 04	0 /00	(2)

Appendix 5

Data Validation Supporting Documentation Documentation

<u>V</u> ALIDATION LEVEL:	A	В	c	D	E
PROJECT:	ERDF		DATA PACKAG	E: C398	4.6
VALIDATOR:	EUR	LAB:	I	1	2./12
			SDG:	3986	
		ANALYSES	PERFORMED	•	
SW-846/ICP	SW-846/GFAA	SW-846/Hg	SW-846 Cyanide		
SAMPLES/MAT	RIX	<u> </u>	4,	•	
B2LX 9	EU BZL	K95 B	2LX91	BZLX9	7
()				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O		
				لء حيا	
				400	
	tion documentation				Yes No N/A
Initial calibrations	MENT PERFORM	struments?	······································		Yes No N/A
	hecks acceptable?				İ
	ecks acceptable?				
	cks performed on a cks acceptable?				1
	le?				
	?				1 1
					Yes No N/A

	BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)			
CB and	CCB checks performed for all applicable analyse	es? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No (N/A)
	i CCB results acceptable? (Levels D, E)			
	ory blanks analyzed?			
	ory blank results acceptable?			/ A
	anks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)			/ h
	ank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)			- (7
Transcri	iption/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)		Yes	5 No 10/A
Comme	ents: aluminum + mangam	UJ all		
				······································
			no Fh	
4.	ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)		C:	````````
	SD samples analyzed?			s)No N/A
	SD results acceptable?			
MS/MS	SD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)		Ye	s No N/A
	SD standards expired? (Levels D, E)			
LCS/BS	SS samples analyzed?	***************************************	(Ye	s) No N/A
	SS results acceptable?		, —	`
Standar	rds traceable? (Levels D, E)		Ye	s No(N/A
Standar	rds expired? (Levels D, E)		Ye	s No NA
Transcr	ription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	••••••	Ye	s No (N/A
	nance audit sample(s) analyzed?		Ye	(N) N/A
Perforn				
	nance audit sample results acceptable?	****************		

PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)	
Ouplicate RPD values acceptable?	Ves No N/A
Duplicate results acceptable?	Yes No N/A
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes Nd N/A
MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No VA
Field duplicate RPD values acceptable?	Yes No NA
Field split RPD values acceptable?	Yes No NA
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No W/A
Comments:	
·	
6. ICP QUALITY CONTROL (Levels D and E)	\bigcap
ICP serial dilution samples analyzed?	Yes Nd N/A
ICP serial dilution %D values acceptable?	Yes No N/A
ICP post digestion spike required?	Yes No N/A
ICP post digestion spike values acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Standards traceable?	Yes No N/A
Standards expired?	Yes No N/A
Transcription/calculation errors?	Yes Nd N/A
Comments:	V

7.	FURNACE AA QUALITY CONTROL (Levels D and E)			
Dupli	icate injections performed as required?	Yes	Nor	N/A\
Dupli	licate injection %RSD values acceptable?	Yes	Νφ	N/A
Analy	ytical spikes performed as required?	Yes	ING	N/A
Analy	lytical spike recoveries acceptable?	Yes	Nd	N/A
Stand	dards traceable?	Yes	Nø	N/A
Stand	dards expired?	Yes	Nþ	N/A
MSA	A performed as required?	Yes	Nb	N/A
MSA	A results acceptable?	Yes	Nþ	N/A
Tran	nscription/calculation errors?	Yes	Nþ	N/A
	nments:			\mathcal{J}
	MOLDING TIMES (all lavels)			
8.	HOLDING TIMES (all levels) nples properly preserved?	Yes	\ No	N/A
Sam	nple holding times acceptable?		No	N/A
	mments:		/	
Con	initents.			

9.	RESULT QUANTITATION AND DETECTION LIMITS (all levels)	
Results	reported for all requested analyses?	Yes No N/A
Results	supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)	Yes No 1974
Sample	properly prepared? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Detection	n limits meet RDL?	
Transcr	ption/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
	nts:	
		•

Appendix 6 Additional Documentation Requested by Client



Reported:

09/18/2012 12:58

Project: RC-008
Project Number: K3986

WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354

Metals by SW846 6000/7000 series - Quality Control Lionville Laboratory

Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Analyte	Result and Quali	fiers	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Batch L209076 - SW 3005A					····					
Blank (L209076-BLK1)				Prepared: 0	9/12/201	2 Analyze	d: 09/17/2	2012		
Aluminum	26.4	В	50.0	ug/L						
Antimony	6.00	U	6.00	u g/L						
Arsenic	4.00	U	4.00	ug/L						
Barium	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Cadmium	2.00	U	2.00	ug/L						
Calcium	1000	U	1000	ug/L						
Chromium	2.00	U	2.00	ug/L	•					
Cobalt	20.0	U	20.0	ug/L						
Copper	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L						
Iron	50.0	U	50.0	ug/L						
Lead	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Magnesium	27.2	В	750	ug/L						
Manganese	0.632	В	5.00	ug/L						
Nickel	40.0	U	40.0	ug/L						
Potassium	4000	U	4000	ug/L						
Selenium	5.00	U	5.00	ug/L						
Silver	2.00	U	2.00	ug/L						
Sodium	500	U	500	ug/L						
Tin	1.00	U	1.00	ug/L						
Vanadium	25.0	U	25.0	ug/L						
Zinc	10.0	U	10.0	ug/L						
LCS (L209076-BS1)				Prepared:	09/12/20	12 Analyz	red: 09/17/	/2012		
Aluminum	4980		50.0	ug/L	5000.		100	80-120		
	3150		6.00	ug/L	3000.	.0 .	105	80-120		
Antimony	10600		4.00	ug/L	1000	0	106	80-120		
Arsenic	5190		5.00	ug/L	5000	.0	104	80-120		
Barium	225		2.00	ug/L	250.0	00	90	80-120		
Cadmium	25800		1000	ug/L	2500	0	103	80-120		
Calcium	512		2.00	ug/L	500.0	ю	102	80-120		
Chromium	2560		20.0	ug/L	2500		102	80-120		
Cobalt	1310		10.0	ug/L	1250		105	80-120		
Copper	5260		50.0	ug/L	5000		105	80-120		
Iron	2520		5.00	ug/l.	2500		101	80-120		
Lead	25700		750	ug/L	2500		103	80-120		
Magnesium	784		5.00	ug/L	750.0		105	80-120		
Manganese			40.0	ug/L	2000		102	80-120		
Nickel	2040		40.0	nR/r	2000					



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354 Project: RC-008
Project Number: K3986
Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/18/2012 12:58

Metals by SW846 6000/7000 series - Quality Control

Lionville Laboratory

Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012		
Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Prepared: 09/17/2012		
25400 4000 ug/L 25000 102 80-120		
Selenium		
Silver		
Sodium 25900 500 ug/L 23000 104 80-120		
Source: 1209026-01 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012		
Vanadium 2600 25.0 ug/L 2500.0 104 80-120 Zinc 1050 10.0 ug/L 1000.0 105 80-120 Duplicate (L209076-DUP1) Source: 1209026-01 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Aluminum 30.2 B 50.0 ug/L 27.9 Antimony 6.00 U 6.00 ug/L 6.00 Arsenic 3.55 B 4.00 ug/L 2.91		
Tinc 1050 10.0 ug/L 1000.0 105 80-120		
Duplicate (L209076-DUP1) Source: 1209026-01 Prepared: 09/12/2012 Analyzed: 09/17/2012 Aluminum 30.2 B 50.0 ug/L 27.9 Antimony 6.00 U 6.00 ug/L 6.00 U Arsenic 3.55 B 4.00 ug/L 2.91		
30.2 B 50.0 ug/L 27.9		
Attiminum 6.00 U 6.00 ug/L 6.00 U Antimony 3.55 B 4.00 ug/L 2.91	8	20
3.55 B 4.00 ug/L 2.91		20
	20	20
43.7 5.00 ug/L 44.6	2	20
Barium 2.00 U 2.00 ug/L 2.00 U		20
Caamium 1000 1000 ug/L 41300	2	20
Calcium 40/00 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	6	20
20.0 U 20.0 ug/L 20.0 U		20
Cobalt 10.0 U 10.0 ug/L 10.0 U		20
Copper 24.0 B 50.0 ug/L 22.8	5	20
Iron 5.00 U 5.00 ug/L 5.00 U		20
12000 750 11g/L 13100	2	20
Magnesium 1.2700 7.50 1.20	13	20
Manganese 1.60 B 5.60 ag/L 1.70 Nickel 1.64 B 40.0 ug/L 1.70	4	20
Potassium 4560 4000 ug/L 4610	I	2
3.31 B 5.00 ug/L 4.16	23*	2
Selenium S.51 S.00 S.0		2
Solium 16100 500 ug/L 16500	3	2
Tin 1.00 U 1.00 ug/L 1.00 U		2
Vanadium 38.6 25.0 ug/L 39.4	2	2
10.0 II 10.0 ug/L 10.0 U		2
Zinc 10.0 U 10.0 ug/L 10.0 U		



WC-Hanford, Inc. 2620 Fermi Avenue Richland WA, 99354 Project: RC-008 Project Number: K3986 Project Manager: Joan Kessner

Reported: 09/18/2012 12:58

Metals by SW846 6000/7000 series - Quality Control

Lionville Laboratory

Analyte	Result and Qualifiers	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Batch L209076 - SW 3005A					H-4-0				
Matrix Spike (L209076-MS1)	Source: 1	1209026-01	Prepared: 0						
Aluminum	2080	50.0	ug/L	2000.0	27.9	103	75-125		
Antimony	539	6.00	ug/L	500.00	6.00 U	108	75-125		
Anumony Arsenic	2130	4.00	ug/L	2000.0	2.91	106	75-125		
Arsenic Barium	2160	5.00	ug/L	2000.0	44.6	106	75-125		
Banum Cadmium	47.5	2.00	ug/L	50.000	2.00 U	J 95	75-125		
Cadmium Calcium	66500	1000	ug/L	25000	41300	101	75-125		
Calcium Chromium	209	2.00	ug/L	200.00	4.25	102	75-125		
	513	20.0	ug/L	500.00	20.0 U	J 103	75-125		
Cobalt	267	10.0	ug/L	250.00	10.0 U	J 107	75-125		
Copper	1100	50.0	ug/L	1000.0	22.8	108	75-125		
lron	497	5.00	ug/L	500.00	5,00 U	J 99	75-125		
Lead	38300	750	ug/L	25000	13100	0 101	75-125		
Magnesium	525	5.00	ug/L	500.00		105	75-125		
Manganese	514	40.0	ug/L	500.00			75-125		
Nickel	30100	4000	ug/L	25000			75-125		
Potassium	2090	5.00	ug/L	2000.0			75-125		
Selenium		2.00	ug/L ug/L	50.000			75-125		
Silver	54.0			25000			75-125		
Sodium	41800	500	ug/L				75-125		
Tin	1020	1.00	ug/L	1000.0			75-125 75-125		
Vanadium	574	25.0	ug/L	500.00					
Zinc	544	10.0	ug/L	500.00	10.0 U	U 10 9	75-125		